Appendix F: Draft Air Quality Action Plan Tunbridge Wells Borough Council – Consultation responses from various organisations and groups: Analysis - December 2018 (Consultation from September to October 2018)

Some of the responses were extensive and it was not possible to capture all the information in the table below. As much as possible all the salient points are reproduced here. Full consultation responses from organisations can be provided on request.

Comment type	Theme	Response
Arriva:		
Arriva fully supports the council's ambitions which complement Arriva's own vision to become a mobility partner of choice through working in partnership over a variety of transport modes to deliver sustainable services for communities that meet their differing needs.	General	We work closely with Arriva in terms of bidding for low emission bus funding. Also as part of the Quality Bus Partnership and participate in the multi bus operator meetings.
This includes corporate experience from working with local authorities on similar projects across Europe to achieve the outcomes sought here		
Arriva believes that the following should be considered in greater detail to help underpin the ambitions of this piece; A. A strategic view on parking to ensure a sustainable town centre public transport offering. The cost of parking as well as on street parking restrictions should be included. B. A strategic view on 'on-street' parking to ensure that primary bus routes are protected so that bus services are not delayed by parked cars and vehicle movements as cars seek to move into and out or parking bays. C. The enforcement and management of unauthorised on street parking	Transpo rt	Parking related comments: These observations have been forwarded to parking services. We will work with parking services to identify measures to be included within the parking strategy that will support the aims of the air quality action plan to ensure good local air quality. Good sustainable public transport supports good local air quality, by enabling effective sustainable transport choices to be made.
The increased use of bus priority measures. Buses are frequently delayed when on their journeys by other road users and the withdrawal of the bus lane on the A26 approach to Tunbridge Wells from the north has seen immediate increases in travel times. This impacts other road users as buses are forced to stop in traffic to serve bus stops. This review could include traffic management to help buses as is seen elsewhere in the UK and in Kent. North Kent's FastTrack service sees traffic lights and simple street layout changes to help buses travel and this has driven an increase in public transport use (on this service and overall) and a decrease in congestion as cars are removed from the road for non-essential journeys. Each bus can help with taking up to 75 cars off the	Transpo rt	Bus priority measures including traffic management. These comments have been forwarded to Economic Development and KCC. Good quality public transport, enabling busses to move swiftly to reach their various destinations on route support the aim of the air quality action plan. By enabling sustainable public transport choices to be made as part of the mobility mix. As part of the air quality action plan, work continues to support the move towards low emission busses.
road and so greater strategic use of bus priority can lead to dramatic improvements in air quality at low		

cost (nil revenue expenditure).		
A review of all council vehicle use (direct and)	Transpo	The Council does have its own Travel Plan, but
indirect) to look for integration with other	rt	this was last updated in 2014.
services.		·
		An action to update of the Councils Travel
Could local bus routes be aligned to serve strategic		Plan can be added to the Action Plan, to
hubs for the council and enable staff and clients to		promote behaviour change measures and to
travel to and from offices by public transport?		encourage the uptake of sustainable public
		transport.
A strategy around the delivery of goods to high	Transpo rt	Delivery vehicles and delivery times:
street and office premises and the times that this		The destination of a second contract of
is permitted.		The draft Action plan already contains an
HGVs and multi-drop delivery vehicles are a growing		action to: 'Review opportunities to reduce emissions from delivery vehicles.'
nuisance and directly impacting service quality for		emissions from delivery vehicles.
all bus companies.		We will look at encouraging 'of peak' or 'night
ali bus companies.		time' deliveries, provided impacts such as
Consideration should be given to restricted delivery		noise nuisance are not caused.
times such as was delivered by Transport for London		noise maisance are not edused.
during the London Olympic games.		We will also work with parking services to
and and an arrangement		identify how parking policy can improve air
This saw less congestion and delivered; as a result,		quality and explore options around delivery
improved air quality as emissions from idling or slow		vehicle parking.
moving vehicles was reduced.		
Arriva fully supports the development of	Transpo	Demand Responsive Transport is being
Demand Responsive Transport (DRT) and have	rt,	developed by KCC in conjunction with their
experience of operating and developing this new	planning	partners.
and innovative model of transport.		
		The draft Action Plan contains the following
Arriva launched ArrivaClick in Sittingbourne in 2017		action: 'The Council will support KCC in their
and was the first operator of its type to offer a		delivery of a 'Demand Response Transport
service using this model. This has been an		service.'
unqualified success and Arriva would be pleased to		
assist in reviewing their experience to help		We will continue to work with Economic
Tunbridge Wells with their review here.		development to support sustainable transport
		measures, with the aim of improving local air
		quality.
Royal Tunbridge Wells Civic Society:	General	The Action Dian has been muchical in and the
The Society is disappointed with this document.	General	The Action Plan has been produced in order to
Whilst being reasonably concise and avoiding jargon		fulfil the Council's statutory obligations with
or obfuscating language, it does not indicate physical actions that the council will actually take.		regard to air quality, and has been designed to
physical actions that the council will actually take.		comply with the relevant statutory guidance.
We suggest the council should have a strategy which		The Implementation Plan sets out the
covers three main areas; - measurement, action and		measures and actions proposed. Some
advice.		provide more detail and specifics; others are
davice.		about working in partnership or reviewing
		opportunities for future more detailed
		actions.
		The Council cannot deliver the plan in
		isolation and relies on partners to take action
		within their area of responsibility.
		·
		By including the actions we have, it will enable
		us to explore these in more detail, bid for
		grant funding and develop them further into

			more detailed SMART actions.
			more detailed sivil art detions.
			The plan will also be reviewed annually, with
			new/updated targets added as more detail
			becomes available and/or actions are
			completed, with the actions prioritised as
			appropriate.
>	Reduce speed limit through 'Five Ways' to 15	Transpo	These observations will be passed to parking
	mph.	rt	services, Economic development and KCC.
>	Prioritise pedestrians, re-route vehicles away		Services, Economic development and Rec.
	from the main town centre(s),		The Plan is supportive of a review of the
>	Cut deliveries both corporate and ecommerce		parking strategy to take air quality
	within the town. (Specified number of pick up		improvements into account.
	points for parcels resulting from purchasing on-		improvements into decount.
	line and banning home deliveries within a		We will look at encouraging 'of peak' or 'night
	specified area of the town.)		time' deliveries, provided impacts such as
	Produce a parking and road pricing strategy with		noise nuisance are not caused.
	no free parking		noise naisance are not caused.
>	Lead by example.		We will review options for a low emissions
>	Actively consider pedestrianisation of part of the		zone, if resources to fund such a study can be
	High St.?		identified.
>	New cycle routes are mentioned, what about		identified.
	new footpaths?		A cycling and walking strategy is being
	new rootpatris:		developed by the Council.
>	Give specific indication of the roads to avoid.	Health	It is important to recognise that pollution
>	Produce and maintain a map of walkways where		levels whilst close to the annual air quality
	pollution is minimal or very low.		objective level for nitrogen dioxide (NO ₂), are
>	Is there a way someone can walk from		not as high as in major cities and
	Southborough to Tunbridge Wells avoiding most		conurbations. Any advice given would be
	of St. John's Rd but without going too far out of		proportionate to this.
	the way?		proportionate to this:
>	We think there is a good case for pedestrians and		As part of working with schools etc. we will
	cyclists to be advised to avoid certain "hotspots"		advice on air quality in general and that
	at specific times.		walking away from main roads may help and
			be more pleasant.
М	easurements:	Health	We already measure local air quality
	is would include identifying places where		extensively and review local air quality
	easurement should continue, places where		annually. With the reports and data published
	easurement should be introduced (eg we would		on line on the councils website and on
	ggest Vale Road) and places where it might as well		http://www.kentair.org.uk/
	dropped.		
			Our reports are also submitted to DEFRA for
			comment and approval.
			Monitoring locations and type of monitoring
			are regularly reviewed in line with national
			guidance.
			The draft Plan includes an action to improve
			the website and link more clearly to the
			information being provided and this will
			include advice on measurement and data.
Ple	eased to see the way the council is actively	Health	Noted thank you.
	gaging with schools, particularly with involving		
	e pupils in the measurement of air quality.		
	yal Tunbridge Wells Green Party:		
	press our appreciation for the overall approach;	General	See the general comment as provided for the
^	p. 200 3ai appreciation for the overall approach,	<u> </u>	235 the Benefal comment as provided for the

however we believe the plan needs three additional measures to have teeth and make a difference to the health of residents.		RTW Civic Society.
Include numerical targets and expected air quality improvements during the Plan's time. The period to 1 July 2019 include the determination and setting numerical and time bound targets and	Transpo rt	The current draft plan contains numerous actions. Some are more specific, others more general.
the expected improvements from the measures outlined in the plan and then re-issue the Plan with firm commitments.		By including the actions we have, it will enable us to explore these in more detail, enable us to bid for grant funding and develop them further into more detailed proposals over time.
		The plan will also be reviewed annually and as more information becomes available actions will be more specific and include set timelines.
		At this stage it would not be possible to have identified all available funding and set timelines. New funding streams through grants are regularly reviewed.
Identifying and addressing 'hot-spots', where there is a high concentration of pollutants coincident with a high presence of the public.	Planning	It is important to recognise that we currently are close to the annual air quality objective for nitrogen dioxide and have already identified the A26 as an air quality management area. Thereby, ensuring air quality considerations are taken into account in that area.
		It is important to note that this plan has been developed, as part of TWBC's statutory duties, in response to an exceedance of the annual mean objective for nitrogen dioxide. This objective is designed to apply at residential property. There is a different objective relevant to the presence of the public passing through an area, which is the hourly mean for nitrogen dioxide. This objective is not exceeded anywhere in the Borough, nor is it close to being exceeded.
		We also review air quality annually and submit this report to DEFRA for approval.
		Whilst other areas in and around the town of Tunbridge Wells may be thought of as 'hotspots', these currently do not exceed the hourly or annual air quality objective levels.
		All the actions proposed will also support air quality improvements in general across the borough.
	Health	The plan will be reviewed annually and as new
Revising the Plan during 2018 – 2023 in the light of new research and legislation.		evidence/information/legislation/guidance comes to the fore this will be taken into account.

the SMART test. Any serious plan has a summary stating who is going to do what, by when and how much it is going to cost.		actions. Some are more specific others more general.
mach it is going to cost.		The plan will be reviewed annually and by including these more general actions, it will enable us to assess them in more detail and develop a specific set of actions with timelines and goals. Critically, by including the actions now it will provide additional leverage when identifying and bidding for grant funding and s106 funding.
The document needs to be much bolder in its targets for a modal shift to non-polluting transport, particularly walking and cycling.	Transpo rt	The aim is to work with Kent County Council to support modal shift.
Kent County Council's and Highways England's record to date in enabling 'active travel' suggests that even the modest targets will not be met. They need to step up their game and increase their investment many fold. Note that this does not mean spending greater sums of public money. It means spending on cycleways and pedestrian-only areas instead of on more carparks and wider roads.		The Action Plan cannot deliver these targets in isolation and recognises that responsibility for active travel and the Cycling and Walking Strategy fall to Economic Development and KCC. The Air Quality Action Plan supports the measures as set out in the KCC Active Travel Strategy and our Councils Transport Strategy and will work with Economic development as the Waking and Cycling Strategy is developed. However, the plan is not designed to duplicate actions already being undertaken elsewhere.
An objective is stated of reducing traffic emissions by 33%. The way to reduce pollution from CO2, NO2, PM10 and PM2.5 is simple: it is to reduce the number of motor vehicles on the road by enabling realistic active travel alternatives for people.	Transpo rt	Public Health England and Department for Transport recognise that while motorised road transport has a role in supporting the economy, a rebalancing of our travel system is needed.
Given that 65% of all UK car journeys are under 5 miles, many of which could be undertaken by bike, implementing a comprehensive programme to enable active travel would achieve this on its own.		The Action Plan acknowledges that building walking or cycling into daily routines are the most effective ways to increase physical activity. With short car trips a prime area for switching to active travel and to public transport which are pro-business and support economic prosperity. They enable optimal travel to work with less congestion, collisions, pollution, and they support a healthier workforce. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/active-travel-a-briefing-for-local-authorities
While the existing transport and cycling strategy documents have useful components, delivering an increase in active travel will require more than 'encouraging modal shift', which has been shown not to work.	Transpo rt	The recommended specific actions as set out by the group are noted and submitted to Cabinet for their observation and attention. These recommendations will also be passed to Planning services, Economic development and
What is required is a network of cycling and walking routes, physically segregated from each other and from motor vehicles.		KCC.
The network will have the following characteristics: 1) All dwellings to be within 400 m of a cycle route 2) All roads to be designated as EITHER		

a. Places for people to live, work, shop or play. This will require: i. the pedestrianisation of town centres; and ii. filtered permeability for most residential streets to ensure the protection for vulnerable road users from vehicles using those roads as cutthroughs and to ensure that short trips are quicker and easier by active travel; OR b. For transporting people from place to place, where fully segregated cycling infrastructure will be required. 3) 20 mph will be the default speed limit in all residential streets and in town and village centres, with exceptions where necessary. 4) For short journeys, particularly up to 3 miles, it will be made easier to walk or cycle than to drive. Even if the proposed review of the transport Transpo These comments are noted and form part of strategy were to include adequate proposals, we are the consultation response and submission to unconvinced that as things stand they would Cabinet. actually be delivered to the appropriate standard. The plan necessarily deals with measures 1) Political commitment to deliver active travel is under the control of TWBC. TWBC can seek to missing at the highest levels within KCC or TWBC. influence KCC and National policies, but 2) Kent Highways uses outdated standards and cannot control them. lacks experience of designing cycling infrastructure. 3) Senior local politicians without the experience of designing cycling infrastructure, and who do not understand its benefits and are not committed to re-enabling people to walk and cycle. 4) Parking. The fact base underlying the strategy is inadequate, with no published detailed data about use of MSCPs and supply / demand for parking. There is an inadequate disincentive for cars to come to the town centre. What needs to happen is: For senior politicians at borough, county council and national level to get fully behind a radical rolling back of the culture of car domination. For officers responsible for designing and building cycle and pedestrian ways to be better trained and made to study and implement the latest international design standards. We must restate that these changes will not require new money. Money being spent on new and wider roads for motor traffic should be re-allocated to enabling of non-polluting sustainable forms of transport – cycling and walking. Transpo The most effective way of tackling it is to reduce These are specific actions as set out by motor traffic in Tunbridge Wells without reducing TWBUG as an alternative/add on to the people's ability to travel around. current proposed draft Action Plan. The plan will start in 2019 and be fully implemented These recommendations are noted and form part of the consultation response and by December 2028.

Objectives of the plan

- 1) To reduce
- mean annual average NO2 and PM10 levels from 40 to 27 μg/m3 – well within the safe zone;
- congestion-related motor traffic delay hours per annum by 60%;
- inactivity-related obesity, Type 2 diabetes and heart murmur by 45%
 - 2) To increase
- town centre business and annual footfall by 25%;
- social interaction, happiness and wellbeing of Tunbridge Wells residents (hard to quantify, but we will know it when we see it)

Budget

£33m over 10 years (= just £30 p.a. per borough resident) would provide transformational infrastructure for Tunbridge Wells. Using the return on 'active travel' at £13-£19 for every pound invested, (para 3.3 of Kent County Council's Active Travel Strategy), the economic return will be £½bn. The budget is less than half that for dualling 2 miles of the A21 in 2016.

Measures to encourage the take-up of electric vehicles are welcome, since they produce less pollution at source than diesel or petrol ones. But even these produce harmful particulate emissions from brake, tyre and road wear, which is likely to become the major source of air pollution in the future.

The answer is to convert to methods of transport that are completely free of pollution, namely walking and cycling.

submission to Cabinet.

These recommendations will also be passed to Planning services, Economic development and KCC.

Transpo

Low emission vehicles form part of the suite of measures to support sustainable transport and are not the only solution and in the future may also include such alternatives as the 'hydrogen fuel cell' car.

It is recognised that electric vehicles are classed as 'zero tailpipe emissions', which is good news in an urban environment with poor air quality. However, particulate emissions from tyre and brake wear will remain an issue.

Equally, if powered from an energy grid supplied by power stations burning coal or gas it still ends up in the atmosphere, but via the route of a power station's stack as opposed to the exhaust?

However, the primary focus of this plan is to ensure the Council's compliance with legislation and to deal with an exceedance of the nitrogen dioxide annual mean objective. This is not to say that the plan will not go beyond what is required for compliance.

There are no exceedances of any PM objectives in Tunbridge Wells Borough, so tackling NO_2 emissions must be the priority. Moreover, it is recognised that in almost every case, any measure which reduces NO_2 emissions will also reduce PM emissions.

The report focusses on NO ₂ and, to an extent on PM ₁₀ , which is becoming a more important source of pollution, but lacks references to PM _{2.5} .	Health	The air quality objective for nitrogen dioxide NO ₂ has been exceeded and not the objective for particulate matter PM ₁₀ . Hence, as required by part IV of the Environment Act 1995 an air quality management area was declared for NO ₂ and the associated Action Plan produced. DEFRA policy guidance 2016 states: 'That local
		authorities are expected to work towards reducing emissions and concentrations of PM _{2.5} , but are not required to carry out any additional review and assessment but make use of national data.'
		We already meet the guideline value for PM _{2.5} . Public Health England 2014 data ¹ . That said the actions as set out in the proposed plan will also benefit particulate matter.
Royal Tunbridge Wells Town Forum:		
The RTW Town Forum has submitted a very similar re	-	TWBUG, this summary only includes the
information that is different to that already listed for		
The Town Forum welcomes the opportunity to	General	Noted and submitted.
respond to TWBC's Air Quality Action Plan. We note		
concerns in the document about air quality and		
agree with many of the measures. The Town Forum		
has for at least 10 years been pressing for		
reductions in traffic volumes and speeds,		
encouraging a modal shift to walking and cycling		
within and around Royal Tunbridge Wells and urged		
TWBC and KCC to implement and fund their agreed		
strategies on Transport, Cycling and Active Travel.		
Our concerns with the Action Plan are:	General	These comments are noted and form part of
1) It lacks ambition in achieving a modal shift to		the consultation response and submission to
non-polluting transport, particularly active travel. It		Cabinet.
offers no actions that TWBC will undertake other		
than 'review, assess, work with partners, promote,		
encourage' etc.		
2) The track record on delivering changes in RTW to		
provide greater safety for pedestrians or to enable		
active travel suggests that even its modest targets		
will not be met.		
We need a town-wide approach to tackling pollution	General	These comments are noted and form part of
and promoting active travel now rather than the		the consultation response and submission to
piecemeal and underfunded approach currently on		Cabinet.
offer. TWBC and KCC need to specify clearly actions		
to enable people to avoid or at least minimise the		
amount of pollution they breathe.		
Measures to protect the population of RTW from	Transpo rt	These comments are noted and form part of
current pollution risks while reducing future risks do	Health	the consultation response and submission to
not feature in any existing transport strategy		Cabinet.
documents.		
This AQ document must impose its direction on		
them. Increasing active travel will require more than		

 $^{1}\underline{\text{https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/estimating-local-mortality-burdens-associated-with-particulate-air-pollution}$

'encouraging' modal shift - levels of cycling are unchanged from a decade ago and walking levels have actually declined. Publishing pollution data and a pollution map would enable people to make informed choices about their own health risks. Vague strategic ambitions are not enough to drive policy imperatives which should include developing a network of cycling and walking routes, segregated from each other and from motor vehicles. Transpo These comments are noted and form part of Congestion: rt None of the numerous studies on traffic levels, the consultation response and submission to routes and congestion have been implemented. The Cabinet. work is ignored and more studies are then undertaken to confirm the problems, using funding which could be spent on implementing solutions. E.g. The A26/A264 Update Study, which found that key traffic junctions are at or near capacity resulting in crippling congestion at peak times and contributing to damaging levels of pollution at street level to residents. The Plan includes an action to review **HGVs**: This same study also identifies that HGVs account opportunities to reduce emissions from delivery vehicles, with the aim: for only 3% of traffic entering the town, but 28% do so unnecessarily as through traffic. Given their > to reduce congestion at peak time; disproportionate impact on pollution, HGVs must be > support the use of low emission delivery re-routed to significantly improve air quality in the vehicles Inc. electric vehicles; consolidation of deliveries to reduce town centre. number of journeys made; Traffic management: Traffic entering and leaving RTW must do so with the least impact on both exhaust and particulate pollution. Current congestion delivers a stop-start slow journey with the emphasis on braking; tyre wear and engine idling along all approach roads and rat-running on residential streets. The importance of reducing traffic volumes within the town, combined with smooth, low speed (20mph town-wide) flows with modernised traffic light controls, restricted on-street parking and loading/unloading should become a priority on air quality grounds alone. A town-wide approach to tackling pollution and Transpo These comments are noted and form part of rt promoting active travel is necessary now. the consultation response and submission to Health Cabinet. Planning Installing more AQMA measuring points 1) across the town, not just along the A26 spine. We We already measure local air quality understand that lightweight mobile equipment is extensively and review local air quality annually. With the reports and data published easy to install and operate to identify the most polluted locations for all pollutants. on line on the councils website and on We recommend additional measuring at http://www.kentair.org.uk/ Carrs Corner/Crescent Road Vale Road from High Street to London Road Our reports are also submitted to DEFRA for

Halls Hole Road between Cornford Lane and comment and approval. Pembury Road. Monitoring locations and type of monitoring 2) Page 13, section 3 Theme 1 Transport: This are regularly reviewed in line with national Action Plan to complement TWBC's Cycling guidance, with the aim of improving the links Strategy and KCC/TWBC Active Travel strategies. to publically available information. 3) Adding references to the remedial qualities The Plan supports the protection of existing of vegetation. The green tree lined approaches to and development of new green infrastructure the town must be maintained for air quality, with as part of the Green Infrastructure Policy. the loss of green spaces to be avoided and to preserve and increase. **Tunbridge Wells Liberal Democrats:** General We would simply reiterate the point that policy is These comments are noted and form part of useless unless it is put into practice. the consultation response and submission to Cabinet. We would encourage TWBC and KCC to be bold and forward-looking, using the expertise of local residents and contacts working in planning and public health to help make good decisions about our town. If we do not take brave decisions today, then we can not be surprised if air quality, and general quality of life in Tunbridge Wells, deteriorates further in the future. We welcome the proposals for the Council to work Transpo The observations submitted are noted and with bus companies and taxi firms to move the most form part of the consultation response and polluting vehicles out of the AQMA, and encourage submission to Cabinet. a refresh of the bus fleet to introduce less polluting Licensing will be reviewing the options for low vehicles. emission vehicles as part of the licencing Our vision is for all private hire vehicles and diesel policy review and is supported by the Action buses licensed to operate in urban areas to run on Plan. However, in terms of time line this is ultra-low emission or zero emission fuels within five dependant on the review and will include a phased approach to enable drivers to switch years. to low emission vehicles as they renew their More can be done to stop the decline in bus use, vehicle, recognising cost issues. removing vehicles from the road, such as introducing a Young Person's Discount Card, and We will engage with KCC who are responsible reviewing the way buses operate in rural areas. for supporting local bus services, which are not provided commercially and these general We would support devolution of the bus service to a tend to be in rural areas. unitary authority, if Tunbridge Wells / MKIP were to move to this model. These recommendations will also be passed to Planning services, Parking, Economic

development and KCC.

Bicycle hire schemes are an interesting idea, but will

not work unless people feel safe to cycle in our

deliveries, and reducing HGV access to residential areas. But simply providing input into to a KCC policy on 'suggested HGV routes' will not have any

We would encourage TWBC and KCC to look at more

We welcome proposals to look at last mile

real impact on HGV traffic.

town.

ambitious proposals to protect residents - and our roads - from HGVs. However the bulk of vehicle emissions come from private cars, and the actions in this area are all vague and intangible, and relate to the drafting of policies. The recent failure by Kent County Council (KCC) to deliver basic cycling infrastructure on the A26 that had been well supported in public consultation demonstrates that KCC does not have the political will to deliver on their existing policy commitments. As such, we do not believe the actions related to modal shift will have any impact on air quality in Tunbridge Wells. We have called for the linking of vehicle emissions to residents' parking permit charges as a tangible measure to incentivise greener vehicle use. Another option would be extending the Clean Air Zone to all vehicles, not just buses. Income generated could be invested in cleaner, cheaper public transport, or to support active travel. This would have the added benefit of reducing congestion. We would again welcome the intentions of the **Planning** These observations will be passed to planning proposed actions, but question how enforceable services. they would be in reality. For example, would a planning application on the A26 be rejected due to Each planning application is assessed on its the impact on an 'Air Quality Protection Area'? We merits and air quality is a material planning remain doubtful. consideration. The aim for any development is to ensure future improvements. An air We welcome the proposals to work with KCC on quality supplementary planning application is electric charging points across the Borough, and the currently being developed as part of the Local commitment to include a requirement for Planning Framework. This is identified in the sustainable travel, car clubs and buses to be Action Plan. included within the local plan. Also planning conditions restricting new developments from on-street parking permit spaces are sensible. The local plan should ensure that public, shared and active transport options (rail, bus, bicycle and walking) are key drivers behind the location of new housing developments. There has been a proliferation of new housing developments designed entirely for motor vehicles, with virtually no pavement provision for pedestrians. Vulnerable individuals will often rely on

public transport and we should ensure this is

provided for in our planning decisions. This will also have the effect of mitigating additional road traffic

from new developments, which threaten to further		
clog our roads and create air pollution.		
We welcome the commitment to use the	Health	These observations are noted.
'Estimations of costs to the NHS and social care due		
to the health impacts of air quality tool' in order to		
calculate likely future savings as a result of		
interventions, and to use this as justification to fund		
preventative schemes.		
		Opportunities to receive text messages are
Also we support proposals to make air quality data		available; however there are cost implications
publicly available online. Given the Council's desire		and the need to undertake a cost benefit
to target vulnerable groups, it may be worth		analysis. These observations are noted and
considering how people who do not have access to		will be taken into account in any future
email would receive poor air quality alerts.		revisions on air quality data management.
The proposed projects and interventions with		
schools are to be welcomed. As we have previously		
stated, safe cycling routes, 20 mph limits and		
concrete measures to tackle rat running will be		
necessary before parents will be willing to allow		
their children to access school via active travel.		